

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OPPICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. Suite 800 2033 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

COPY MAILED

JAN 2 2 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Sakamoto, et al.

Application No. 09/733,926

Filed: December 12, 2000

Docket No.: 2000 1699A

: DECISION ON PETITION

•

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181, filed January 9, 2007, to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application was held abandoned for failure to timely submit a properly reply to the Office communication mailed June 9, 2006. The Office communication set a three month shortened statutory period of time for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 5, 2007.

Petitioners assert non-receipt of the Office communication.

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the Office communication, there is a strong presumption that the Office communication was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Office communication was not in fact received. The showing required to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. See, MPEP 711.03(c). The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office communication may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office communication was lost in the mail.

The arguments and supporting documentation presented have been carefully considered and support the conclusion that the non-final Office was not received.

In view thereof he Notice of Abandonment is hereby $\begin{tabular}{c} \textbf{VACATED} \end{tabular}$ and the holding of abandonment is $\begin{tabular}{c} \textbf{WITHDRAWN}. \end{tabular}$

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for re-mailing of the final Office action. The time period for reply will be set in the re-mailed Office action.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3205.

Alesia M. Brown Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions